

Calgary Assessment Review Board

DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaints against the property assessments as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

between:

SOKIL HOLDINGS LTD., (as represented by Colliers International Realty Advisors Inc.), COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

before:

BOARD CHAIR, T. Hudson PRESIDING OFFICER BOARD MEMBER, I. Fraser BOARD MEMBER, G. Milne

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of the property assessments prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2014 Assessment Roll as follows:

ROLL NUMBER: 097016703

LOCATION ADDRESS: 5402 44 ST SE

FILE NUMBER: 76731

ASSESSMENT: \$9,620,000

The complaint was heard on the 29th day of July, 2014 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 3, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Board room 10.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

• Mr. T. Howell, Agent, Colliers International Realty Advisors Inc.

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

• *Mr. J. Ermube, Assessor, City of Calgary*

Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:

[1] There were no procedural or jurisdictional matters in dispute between the Parties.

Property Description:

[2] The subject property is a 9.99 acre parcel of industrial land located at 5402 44 ST SE in the Foothills Industrial community. In 1996, the property was improved with two "B" quality class single tenant warehouse buildings including assessable areas of 53,250 square feet (sf.), and 5,954 sf. respectively. Site coverage is 12.68%, and office finish is 12% and 25% respectively.

[3] The property is currently assessed based on the direct sales comparison approach at a unit rate of \$162.59 per square foot (psf.), to a total of \$9,626,155, or \$9,620,000, (rounded).

Issue:

Assessment Amount

[4] The Complainant contends that the assessment exceeds market value, and should be reduced using a unit rate of \$95.00 psf.

Complainant Requested Value: \$5,620,000 (rounded)

Board's Decision:

[5] **The assessment is confirmed at \$9,620,000.**

Legislative Authority, Requirements and Considerations:

[6] The Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) derives its authority from Part 11 of the Act:

Section 460.1(2): Subject to section 460(11), a composite assessment review board has jurisdiction to hear complaints about any matter referred to in section 460(5) that is shown on an assessment notice for property other than property described in subsection (1)(a).

[7] For purposes of the hearing, the CARB will consider the Act Section 293(1):

In preparing the assessment, the assessor must, in a fair and equitable

manner,

(a) apply the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations, and

(b) follow the procedures set out in the regulations.

[8] The Matters Relating to Assessment and Taxation Regulation (MRAT) is the regulation referred to in the Act section 293(1) (b). The CARB consideration will be guided by MRAT Part 1 Standards of Assessment, Mass appraisal section 2:

An assessment of property based on market value

- (a) must be prepared using mass appraisal,
- (b) must be an estimate of the value of the fee simple estate in the property, and,
- (c) must reflect typical market conditions for properties similar to that property.

Position of the Parties

Complainant

[9] The Complainant submitted three industrial property sales with a unit rate range of \$43.49 psf. to \$119.23 psf. with a mean of \$87.18 psf., in support of a requested unit rate of \$90.00 psf. for the subject property assessment, (Exhibit C1, page 13).

[10] The Complainant subsequently time adjusted the sale price based on the Respondent's methodology, of one of the sales and calculated a revised mean of \$95.31 psf.

[11] The Complainant then revised the unit rate assessment request to \$95.00 psf.

Respondent

[12] The Respondent submitted an analysis of five comparable sales for the larger, (i.e. 53,250 sf.) building with unit rate values ranging from \$126.04 psf., to \$156.71psf., with a median of \$152.54 psf.; in support of the current assessed unit rate value of \$150.51 psf., (Exhibit R1, page 32).

[13] The Respondent also submitted an analysis of four comparable sales for the smaller, (i.e. 5,954 sf.) building with unit rate values ranging from \$240.20 psf. to \$255.83 psf., with a median of \$249.98 psf.; in support of the current assessed unit rate value of \$270.68 psf.,(Exhibit R1, page 32).

[14] The Respondent explained that the assessment is based on the blended unit rate of \$162.59 psf.

[15] The Respondent also pointed out that the Complainant's sale comparable located at 5105 54 AV SE, was a non arms-length sale, according to both the property sale questionnaire and the Real Net report, (Exhibit R1, pages 18-30).

Board's Reasons for Decision:

[16] The Board finds that the property sales evidence submitted by the Complainant has little or no comparable relationship to the subject property, and that the sales evidence submitted by the Respondent supports the current assessment.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS _	<u>25</u> DAY	(OF	August	_ 2014.
Abdulan			V	

T. B. Hudson

Presiding Officer

APPENDIX "A"

DOCUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

NO.			
1. C1	Complainant Disclosure		
2. R1	Respondent Disclosure		

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.

For MGB Administrative Use Only

Decision No.	76731		R	oll No.097016703
Subject	Type	Sub-Type	Issue	Sub-Issue
CARB	Warehouse	IWS (2)	Market Value	Sales Comps